

Michael V. Infuso, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 7388
Keith W. Barlow, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12689
Sean B. Kirby, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 14224
GREENE INFUSO, LLP
3030 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 101
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Telephone: (702) 570-6000
Facsimile: (702) 463-8401
E-mail: minfuso@greeneinfusolaw.com
kbarlow@greeneinfusolaw.com
skirby@greeneinfusolaw.com
Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

INTERIOR ELECTRIC INCORPORATED
NEVADA, a domestic corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

T.W.C. CONSTRUCTION, INC., a Nevada corporation; TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA, a Connecticut corporation; MATTHEW RYBA, an individual; GUSTAVO BAQUERIZO, an individual; CLIFFORD ANDERSON, an individual; POWER UP ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada limited liability company; PROLOGIS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; AML PROPERTIES, INC., a Nevada corporation; AML DEVELOPMENT 3, LLC, a Nevada limited liability corporation; LAPOUR PARTNERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; DON FISHER, an individual; PHILCOR T.V. & ELECTRONIC LEASING, INC., a Nevada corporation, dba NEDCO; QED, INC., a Nevada corporation; TURTLE & HUGHES, Inc., a New Jersey corporation; DOES I-X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-CV-01118

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR T.W.C.
CONSTRUCTION, INC.,
TO RESPOND TO
COUNTERDEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS TWC CONSTRUCTION, INC'S
COUNTERCLAIM

(First Request)

1 Defendant and Counterclaimant T.W.C. Construction, Inc. (“TWC”), by and through its
2 counsel of record, the law firm Greene Infuso, LLP; and Plaintiff and Counterdefendant Interior
3 Electric Incorporated Nevada (“Interior Electric Nevada” or “Plaintiff”) and Counterdefendant
4 Interior Electric Incorporated (“Interior Electric California,” and together with Interior Electric
5 Nevada, “Counterdefendants”), by and through their counsel of record, the law firms of Marquis
6 Aurbach Coffing and the Law Offices of Philip A. Kantor, P.C., hereby stipulate and agree as
7 follows:

8 WHEREAS, TWC’s deadline to respond to Counterdefendants’ Motion to Dismiss TWC’s
9 Counterclaim (“Motion to Dismiss”) [ECF No. 99] is currently January 29, 2019;

10 WHEREAS, Counterdefendants have agreed to give TWC up through and including
11 February 5, 2019, in which to respond to their Motion to Dismiss.

12 WHEREAS, there are no other deadlines that are affected by this stipulation and proposed
13 order that are presently known to the parties; and

14 WHEREAS, TWC’s counsel represents that the current deadline cannot reasonably be met
15 because of counsel’s professional commitments, unexpected emergent events in another unrelated
16 matter, and a newly revised trial date in a separate matter, among other things, and not for any
17 improper purpose or to delay.

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28 \\\

